

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/643,259	08/22/2000	Takashi Yamaguchi	0649-0758P-SP	9019
	90 03/07/2002			O. In the second
Birch Stewart	Kolasch & Birch LLP		EXAM	INER
P O Box 747			SHORT, PATRICIA A	
Falls Church, V	A 22040-0747		2112111,	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	6
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10010 4000001	() A U
Office Action Summary	09/643259 Yamaquel Examiner Group Art	Unit 1
Onice Action Cammary	Examiner Strong 1712	, Onk
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	on the cover sheet beneath the corresponde	ence address
eriod for Reply		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO	EVALUE 3 MONTH(S) FROM TH	F MAILING DATE
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 	ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be oxpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi	considered timely. munication .
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Lary 22, 2002	•
This action is FINAL.	•	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	s is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
X Claim(s)	is/are pending in	the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn	from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) 1 - 1 0	is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)		
= •(-)		
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to res	triction or election
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to res	striction or election
☐ Claim(s)Application Papers☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir	are subject to res requirement. J Review, PTO-948.	striction or election
 □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 	are subject to res requirement. J Review, PTO-948 is	striction or election
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are object	are subject to res requirement. J Review, PTO-948 is	striction or election
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to res requirement. J Review, PTO-948 is	striction or election
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to res requirement. J Review, PTO-948 is	striction or election
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to res requirement. Review, PTO-948 is	striction or election
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under and the CERTIFIED copies of the certification.	are subject to res requirement. Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner. Ider 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). The priority documents have been	striction or election
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under and acknowledgment is made of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interview of the Interview	are subject to respect to the requirement. J. Review, PTO-948. J. Review, PTO-948. J. J	striction or election
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under all □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers)	are subject to respect to the requirement. J. Review, PTO-948. J. Review, PTO-948. J. J	striction or election
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under and acknowledgment is made of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interview.)	are subject to respect to the requirement. J. Review, PTO-948. J. Review, PTO-948. J. J	striction or election
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb □ received in this national stage application from the Interview of the copies not received: □ *Certified copies not received:	are subject to respect to the requirement. J. Review, PTO-948. J. Review, PTO-948. J. J	striction or election
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to respect to the requirement. J. Review, PTO-948. J. Review, PTO-948. J. J	etriction or election

Application/Control Number: 09/643,259

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what is excluded by crosslinking assistant that causes smell or safety problem (claim 1), crosslinking assistant (claim 9), or crosslinking assistant such as styrene (claim 10). As these terms are not defined in the specification, it is not clear what constitutes a smell or safety problem, whether crosslinking assistant encompasses the curing aid disclosed at page 9, second paragraph, and what is encompassed by a crosslinking assistant such as styrene. See *Ex parte Steigewald* 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961). MPEP 2173.05(d).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Osborne. The reference was discussed in the previous Office action. Additionally, unsaturated monomers include monomers other than

Art Unit: 1712

styrene. See col. 3, lines 33-37. Use of a monomer other than styrene, such as an acrylate or diallyl phthalate, is anticipated by or would have been obvious in order to avoid the art recognized odor problem of styrene. It is not clear which, if any, of these monomers are excluded from the claimed invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osborne. The rejection is applied as in the previous Office action and as discussed above.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Van Gasse. The reference was discussed in the previous Office action. Additionally, unsaturated monomers include monomers other than styrene. See col. 3, lines 4-9. Use of a monomer other than styrene, such as an acrylate or diallyl phthalate, is anticipated by or would have been obvious in order to avoid the art recognized odor problem of styrene. It is not clear which, if any, of these monomers are excluded from the claimed invention.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1712

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

P. Short

February 28, 2002

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9311

PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Patricia Ce. Short